



November 6, 2013

Ms. Breanne Potter
Asst Commission Secretary
Public Utilities Commission of Nevada
1150 East William Street
Carson City, Nevada 89701-3109

Re: In re Joint Petition of Central Telephone Company dba CenturyLink and MegaPath Corporation for approval of the Amendment 2 for the State of Nevada Pursuant to Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Dear Ms. Potter:

Enclosed for filing is a Joint Petition for Approval of the Amendment 2 for the State of Nevada under Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 between Central Telephone Company dba CenturyLink ("CenturyLink") and MegaPath Corporation. CenturyLink and MegaPath Corporation submit the Amendment 2 for the Commission's approval pursuant to Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Also enclosed is a draft notice, pursuant to NAC 703.162, which is not required to be published in the newspaper per NRS 704.6877. A check in the amount of \$200 is also enclosed to cover the filing fee.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (702) 244-7318. Thank you for your assistance.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Linda Stinar".

Linda Stinar
Director Regulatory Affairs

Enclosures

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF NEVADA

In re Joint Petition of Central Telephone
Company d/b/a CenturyLink and MegaPath
Corporation for approval of the Amendment 2 for
the State of Nevada Pursuant to Section 252 of the
Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Docket No. 13 -

**JOINT PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT 2 FOR THE STATE OF
NEVADA UNDER SECTIONS 251 AND 252 OF
THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996**

Central Telephone Company d/b/a CenturyLink and MegaPath Corporation (collectively referred to as the "Parties"), hereby petition the Public Utilities Commission (the "Commission") for approval of the Amendment 2 for the State of Nevada, attached hereto is the Amendment 2.

The Parties submit the Amendment 2 for approval in accordance with the terms of Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the "Act"). The Parties request that the Commission approve the Amendment 2 in accordance with the requirements of Section 252(e) of the Act by determining that the grounds for rejection of such Amendment 2 set forth in Section 252 (e)(2)(A)(i) and Section 252 (e)(2)(A)(ii) of the Act are not applicable to the Amendment 2. With respect to Section 252(e) (2) of the Act, the Parties assert that the Amendment 2 does not discriminate against any telecommunications carrier not a party to the Amendment 2. The implementation of the Amendment 2 is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. The Amendment 2 does not violate any requirement of the Commission.

The Parties respectfully request that the Commission expeditiously approve the Amendment 2 consistent with the intent of the Act.

Dated this 6th day of November, 2013.

MegaPath Corporation

**Central Telephone Company
d/b/a CenturyLink**

By: Karl
Katherine K. Mudge
VP – Regulatory Affairs & Litigation
1835-B Kramer Lane, Suite 100
Austin, Texas 78758

By: Linda Stinar
Linda C. Stinar
Director Regulatory Affairs
6700 Via Austi Parkway
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Attachment A

AMENDMENT 2

TO THE

INTERCONNECTION AND RESALE AGREEMENT

FOR THE STATE OF

NEVADA

BETWEEN

CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY D/B/A CENTURYLINK

(F/K/A CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY-NEVADA D/B/A SPRINT OF NEVADA)

AND

MEGAPATH CORPORATION

(F/K/A DIECA COMMUNICATIONS, INC. D/B/A COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY)

This Amendment No. 2, made this 24th day of October, 2013, is entered into by and between MegaPath Corporation, as successor to DIECA COMMUNICATIONS, INC. D/B/A COVAD COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY ("CLEC"), and Central Telephone Company d/b/a CenturyLink ("CenturyLink"), for the State of Nevada. CenturyLink and CLEC are collectively referred herein as the "Parties".

BACKGROUND:

WHEREAS, the Parties entered into an Interconnection and Resale Agreement under their former legal entity names for the State of Nevada ("Agreement") the 1st day of August, 2005; and

WHEREAS, the Parties agree to amend the Agreement to reflect the legal entity name changes of both Parties to the Agreement.

In consideration of the promises and agreements contained in this Amendment, the Parties hereby agree as follows:

AMENDMENT:


1. DIECA Communications, Inc. d/b/a Covad Communications Company has changed its name to MegaPath Corporation and all references to CLEC in the Agreement shall be deemed to refer to MegaPath.

Central Telephone Company d/b/a CenturyLink formerly did business under the dba "Sprint of Nevada" in the Agreement, and all references to Sprint shall be deemed to refer to CenturyLink.

2. All other terms and conditions of the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect.
3. This Amendment No. 2 is executed by authorized representatives of CenturyLink and CLEC and is made a part of and incorporates the existing terms and conditions of the Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, CenturyLink and CLEC have caused this Amendment No. 2 to be executed by its duly authorized representatives.

MegaPath Corporation

By:  66CAE4174A744E3...
Name: Steve Chisholm
Title: SVP Bus Dev, Legal & Regulatory
Date: 10/25/2013

Central Telephone Company d/b/a CenturyLink

By:  05E9FC68BD57454...
Name: L. T. Christensen
Title: Director – Wholesale Contracts
Date: 10/28/2013

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF NEVADA
DRAFT NOTICE**

(Per NRS 704.6877, this notice is not required to be published in the newspaper)

Pursuant to Nevada Administrative Code (“NAC”) 703.162, the Commission requires that a draft notice be included with all applications, tariff filings, complaints and petitions. Please complete and include **ONE COPY** of this form with your filing. (Completion of this form may require the use of more than one page.)

A title that generally describes the relief requested (see NAC 703.160(4)(a)):

Joint Petition for approval of the Amendment 2 between Central Telephone Company d/b/a CenturyLink and MegaPath Corporation for the State of Nevada under Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

The name of the applicant, complainant, petitioner or the name of the agent for the applicant, complainant or petitioner (see NAC 703.160(4)(b)):

Central Telephone Company d/b/a CenturyLink and MegaPath Corporation.

A brief description of the purpose of the filing or proceeding, including, without limitation, a clear and concise introductory statement that summarizes the relief requested or the type of proceeding scheduled AND the effect of the relief or proceeding upon consumers (see NAC 703.160(4)(c)):

Central Telephone Company d/b/a CenturyLink and MegaPath Corporation submit the Amendment 2 for approval in accordance with the terms of Section 252(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the “Act”). The implementation of the Amendment 1 is consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity. The Amendment 1 does not violate any requirement of the Commission.

A statement indicating whether a consumer session is required to be held pursuant to Nevada Revised Statute (“NRS”) 704.069(1)1:

No consumer session is required to be held.

If the draft notice pertains to a tariff filing, please include the tariff number AND the section number(s) or schedule number(s) being revised.

Not applicable.

1 NRS 704.069 states in pertinent part:

1. The Commission shall conduct a consumer session to solicit comments from the public in any matter pending before the Commission pursuant to NRS 704.061 to 704.110 inclusive, in which:

(a) A public utility has filed a general rate application, an application to recover the increased cost of purchased fuel, purchased power, or natural gas purchased for resale or an application to clear its deferred accounts; and

(b) The changes proposed in the application will result in an increase in annual gross operating revenue, as certified by the applicant, in an amount that will exceed \$50,000 or 10 percent of the applicant’s annual gross operating revenue, whichever is less.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have on this 6th day of November 2013, served a copy of the Certificate of Service for the *Joint Petition for Approval of the Amendment 2* for the State of Nevada under Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, between Central Telephone Company d/b/a CenturyLink and MegaPath Corporation upon all parties:

Via Hand Delivery:

Ms. Breanne Potter (original)
Asst Commission Secretary
Public Utilities Commission of Nevada
9075 West Diablo Drive Suite 250
Las Vegas, Nevada 89148

I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing documents upon the following parties by either postage pre-paid U.S. Mail or electronic mail to:

MegaPath Corporation
Katherine Mudge
VP Regulatory Affairs &
Litigation
1835-B Kramer Lane Suite 100
Austin, TX 78758
Katherine.mudge@megapath.com

Bureau of Consumer Protection
Eric Witkoski
555 E. Washington St., Suite 3900
Las Vegas, NV 89101
bcpserve@ag.nv.gov

I hereby certify that I have this day served Document Available Notice by electronic transmission or postage pre-paid U.S. Mail to an acceptable location to the list established pursuant to NAC 703.296:

Janice Ono,
Randy Brown
AT&T Nevada
645 East Plumb, Room C144
Reno, NV 89520
janice.ono@att.com
randy.brown@att.com

Charlie Born
Pam Pittenger
Frontier Communications
P.O. Box 340
Elk Grove, CA 95759
charlie.born@ftr.com
pam.pittenger@ftr.com

Harold Oster
Rio Virgin d/b/a Reliance Connects
61 W. Mesquite Blvd.
Mesquite, NV 89027
osterh@cuaccess.net

Marilyn Ash
Mpower Comm. Corp d/b/a
US Telepacific
620 3rd St.
San Francisco, CA 94107
ashm@telepacific.com

Mark DiNunzio
Cox Nevada Telecom, LLC
1515 W. Deer Valley Rd.
Phoenix, AZ 85027
mark.dinunzio@cox.com

Margaret Tobias
Tobias Law Office
460 Pennsylvania Ave
San Francisco, CA 94107
marg@tobiaslo.com

Commercial Telephone Exchange, Inc.
P.O. Box 11527
Reno, NV 89510

Public Utilities Commission of Nevada
pucn.sc@puc.nv.gov

Scott Shaw
Service 1st Energy Solutions
3863 Valley View Blvd. #2
Las Vegas, NV 89103
sshaw@service1stnow.com

I hereby certify the foregoing documents are being made available for inspection at website Internet address: <http://puc.nv.gov/> and http://www.centurylink.com/wholesale/clec_nv.html.

The foregoing documents are available in electronic format or paper format by sending a request to:

Paper: Linda Stinar
CenturyLink
6700 Via Austi Pkwy
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

Electronic: linda.c.stinar@centurylink.com



Linda Stinar, an employee of Central Telephone
Company dba CenturyLink

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF NEVADA

Joint Petition of Central Telephone Company d/b/a	)	
CenturyLink and MegaPath Corporation for	)	
approval of Amendment No. 2 to their	)	Docket No. 13-11006
Interconnection and Resale Agreement pursuant to	)	
Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.	)	
_____	)	

NOTICE OF JOINT PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF AN AMENDMENT TO AN
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT BETWEEN TELECOMMUNICATION CARRIERS
UNDER SECTION 252 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT
AND
NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF HEARING

Central Telephone Company d/b/a CenturyLink and MegaPath Corporation (collectively, "Joint Petitioners") filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada ("Commission") a Joint Petition, designated as Docket No. 13-11006, for approval of Amendment No. 2 to their Interconnection and Resale Agreement pursuant to Section 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

This Notice serves only to notify the public that the Commission has received the above-referenced filing. It is the responsibility of interested persons to review the filing and monitor the proceedings to determine their desired levels of involvement based on how this matter may affect their unique situations. The details provided within this Notice are for informational purposes only and are not meant to be an all-inclusive overview of the filing.

//

//

//

//

//

//

DOCUMENT REVIEW AND APPROVAL ROUTING	
DRAFTED BY: <u>MSF</u>	
FINAL DRAFT ON: <u>11 / 12 / 13</u> AT <u>11</u> : <u>30</u> <u>A</u> M	
REVIEWED & APPROVED BY:	DATE:
☐ ADMIN / ASST. (_____) _____	<u>1</u> / <u>1</u> / _____
☒ COMM / COUNSEL <u>MSF for GLW</u>	<u>11</u> / <u>12</u> / <u>13</u>
☐ SECRETARY / ASST. SEC. _____	<u>1</u> / <u>1</u> / _____
☐ OTHER (_____) _____	<u>1</u> / <u>1</u> / _____

Interested and affected persons may obtain a copy of the Joint Petition from the Commission and may file comments pertaining to the Joint Petition at either of the Commission's offices on or before MONDAY, DECEMBER 9, 2013. Any comments must be simultaneously served on the Commission, the parties to the Agreement, the Regulatory Operations Staff of the Commission, and the Nevada Attorney General's Bureau of Consumer Protection. In response to the comments filed on or before MONDAY, DECEMBER 9, 2013, the Joint Petitioners may file reply comments and legal arguments on or before TUESDAY, DECEMBER 24, 2013.

The Commission has jurisdiction over this matter and legal authority to conduct proceedings pursuant to the Nevada Revised Statutes ("NRS") and the Nevada Administrative Code ("NAC"), Chapters 703 and 704, including but not limited to NRS 704.040 and 704.120, NAC 703.290, and 47 United States Code ("U.S.C.") §252(e). The Commission will make a determination at an open meeting regarding whether to grant the relief requested, which may have an impact on consumers.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a public HEARING in the above matter will be held as follows:

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 27, 2013
1:30 P.M.
Hearing Room B
Public Utilities Commission of Nevada
1150 East William Street
Carson City, NV 89701

Pursuant to NRS 703.320, if the Commission does not receive any adverse comments regarding the Joint Petition or a request for a hearing by MONDAY, DECEMBER 9, 2013, the hearing will be cancelled.

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(e) and NRS 704.120, the Commission will receive evidence

pertaining to the issues raised by filed comments and any responses by Joint Petitioners regarding any dispute over the terms and conditions of the Agreement.

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(e)(2)(A), the Commission may only reject a negotiated agreement, or any portion thereof, if it finds that (i) the agreement discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or (ii) the implementation of such agreement is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

At the hearing, the Commission may also consider issues related to the provisions of 47 U.S.C. §251 and 252 and Chapters 703 and 704 of the NRS and NAC, may discuss public comments, and may make decisions on the procedural issues raised at the hearing.

In accordance with NRS 704.6877 and NAC 703.290, this Joint Petition is available at the Commission's website at: <http://puc.nv.gov>.

By the Commission,



BREANNE POTTER,
Assistant Commission Secretary

Dated: Carson City, Nevada

11-13-13

(SEAL)

